Indicators	04-05 AEA	04-05 State	04-05 State Target	05-06 State Target	6 Year Target	Criteria Met/Not Met
Essential Question # 1	ALA	State	State raiget	State rarget	raiget	Met/Not Met
Are Students with Disabilities Entering School Ready to Learn at High Levels?						
Early Intervention Services in a Timely Manner (C1)						
This indicator is defined as the percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on the	86.7%	68.8%	100%	100%	100%	
IFSPs in a timely manner. Data Source: Part C Self assessment and monitoring data	00.1 70	00.070	10070	10070	10070	
% Served in Natural Environments (C2)						-
This indicator is defined as the percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in	4000/	000/	00.00/	00.40/	00.00/	
the home or programs for typically developing children. Data Source: Children's IFSP's reported by the Regional Grantee	100%	96%	96.0%	96.1%	96.6%	
using the DE/AEA Information Management System (IMS)						
Early Childhood Outcomes, Birth to 3 Years (C3)						
This indicator is defined as the percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive early intervention services who						
demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills; acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early						
language/communication); and use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.						
Data Source: Children's IFSPs reported by the Regional Grantee using the DE/AEA Information Management System (IMS)					1	
Early Childhood Outcome: Social Emotional Skills	Baseline data collected 2005-06 TB					
Early Childhood Outcome: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge & Skills	Baseline data collected 2005-06					
Early Childhood Outcome: Use of Appropriate Behavior		TBD				
Effective Transition for Exiting Part C Services (C8)						
This indicator is defined as the percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the						Criteria Met
child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: Data Source: Part						
C Self assessment and monitoring data		1			1	4 of 7
IFSPs with Transition Steps/Services	100%	68%	100%	100%	100%	Targets Met
Notification to LEA if Part B Eligible	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	-
Transition Conference	33%	46%	100%	100%	100%	
Least Restrictive Environment for Children Ages 3-5 (B6)						
This indicator is defined as the percent of preschool children with IEPs who receive special education and related services in	000/	400/	400/	4=0/		
settings with typically developing peers (e.g. early childhood settings, home and parttime early childhood/parttime early	38%	42%	42%	45%	75%	
childhood special education settings). Data Source: Childrens' IEPs reported by the AEA using the DE/AEA Information						
Management System (IMS)						-
Early Childhood Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5 (B7)						
This indicator is defined as the percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional						
skills; (including social relationships); acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication						
and early literacy); and use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. Data Source: Childrens' IEPs and assessments, reported by the AEA using the DE/AEA IMS						
Early Childhood Outcome: Social Emotional Skills					TBD	-
Early Childhood Outcome: Social Emotional Skills Early Childhood Outcome: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge & Skills						-
Early Childhood Outcome: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge & Skills Early Childhood Outcome: Use of Appropriate Behavior	Baseline data collected 2005-06 TBD					-
				I	חמו	-
Transition C to B (B12) This indicator is defined as the percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	
have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday. Data Source: Part C Self assessment and monitoring data	100%	30 %	100%	100%	100%	
Have an I⊑F developed and implemented by their third birthday. Data Source: Part C Self assessment and monitoring data						

lowa Department of Education Spring 2006

Indicators	04-05 AEA	04-05 State	04-05 State Target	05-06 State Target	6 Year Target	Criteria Met/Not Met
Essential Question #2				•		
Are Students with Disabilities Achieving at High Levels?						
Participation and Performance of Children with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (B3) A. % Districts who Meet AYP This indicator is defined as the percent of districts meeting the State's AYP objective for progress for disability subgroup. Data Source: lowa's Adequate Yearly Progress Database	67%	60%	60%	60%	64%	
B. Participation Participation is defined the same as for NCLB. Data Source: lowa's Adequate Yearly Progress Database						
4 th Special Education Reading Participation %	98.0%	98.4%	95%	95%	95%	
4 th Special Education Math Participation %	97.9%	98.6%	95%	95%	95%	
8 th Special Education Reading Participation %	98.5%	98.9%	95%	95%	95%	
8 th Special Education Math Participation %	98.6%	98.6%	95%	95%	95%	
11 th Special Education Reading Participation %	95.3%	97.2%	95%	95%	95%	
11 th Special Education Math Participation %	95.9%	97.3%	95%	95%	95%	
C. Proficiency Proficiency is defined the same as for NCLB. Data Source: lowa's Adequate Yearly Progress Database		_				
4 th Special Education Reading Proficiency %	36.3%	39.5%	39.5%	40.5%	45.5%	Criteria Met
4 th Special Education Math Proficiency %	45.8%	48.8%	48.8%	49.8%	54.8%	14 of 17
8 th Special Education Reading Proficiency %	27.5%	26.8%	26.8%	27.8%	32.8%	Targets Met
8 th Special Education Math Proficiency %	34.5%	31.1%	31.1%	32.1%	37.1%	
11 th Special Education Reading Proficiency %	38.3%	30.1%	30.1%	31.1%	36.1%	
11 th Special Education Math Proficiency %	45.1%	38.5%	38.5%	39.5%	44.5%	
Rates of Suspension and Expulsion (B4) This indicator is defined as the percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year, reported as a total percentage and by race/ethnicity. Data Source: lowa's Project EASIER						
Suspensions and Expulsions (% Districts with Significant Discrepancy)	0%	1.4%	<u><</u> 1.5%	<u><</u> 1.5%	<u><</u> 1%	
Suspensions and Expulsions (% of Districts with Significant Discrepancy by race/ethnicity)	Baseline data collected 2005-06 TBD					
Least Restrictive Environment for Students Ages 6-21 (B5) The Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) is a term used to define the setting where special education services and supports are delivered. Three indicators are used in defining LRE. Data Source: Student IEPs, reported by the AEA using the DE/AEA IMS.						
% of students in general education 80% or more of the time	49.34%	44.35%	44.35%	44%	75%	
% of students in general education less than 40% of the time	14.59%	13.61%	<u><</u> 13.6%	<u><</u> 13.6%	<u><</u> 12%	
% of students in public or private special school, residential, homebound or hospital placements	2.72%	3.89%	<u><</u> 3.89%	<u><</u> 3.8%	<u><</u> 3.5%	

lowa Department of Education Spring 2006

Indicators	04-05 AEA	04-05 State	04-05 State Target	05-06 State Target	6 Year Target	Criteria Met/Not Met			
Essential Question #3 Are Students with Disabilities From All Ethnicities Appropriately Identified?									
Disproportionality (B9) This indicator is defined as the percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification. Data Source: Iowa's Project EASIER									
% of districts with disproportionate representation of race/ethnicity in Special Education, Indian/Alaska Native				0%	0%				
% of districts with disproportionate representation of race/ethnicity in Special Education, Black (Not Hispanic)	Baseline data collected 2005-06			0%	0%	Not Applicable			
% of districts with disproportionate representation of race/ethnicity in Special Education, Asian/ Pacific Islander				0%	0%				
% of districts with disproportionate representation of race/ethnicity in Special Education, Hispanic				0%	0%				
% of districts with disproportionate representation of race/ethnicity in Special Education, White (Not Hispanic)				0%	0%				
Indicators	04-05 AEA	04-05 State	04-05 State Target	05-06 State Target	6 Year Target	Criteria Met/Not Met			
Essential Question #4 Are Parents and Students Supported Within Special Education?									
Family Centered Services (C4) This indicator is defined as the percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family know their rights; effectively communicate their children's needs; and help their children develop and learn. Data Source: lowa Department of Education Survey									
% of families reporting early intervention services helped the family know their rights						Not Applicable			
% of families reporting early intervention services helped the family communicate children's needs	Baseline data collected 2005-06 TBD					Not Applicable			
% of families reporting early intervention services helped their children develop and learn									
Parent Involvement (B4) This indicator is defined as the percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities. Data Source: lowa Department of Education Survey	Baseline data collected 2005-06 TBD								

lowa Department of Education Spring 2006

Indicators		04-05 AEA	04-05 State	04-05 State Target	05-06 State Target	6 Year Target	Criteria Met/Not Met
Essential Question #5 Are Students with Disabilities Prepared for Success Beyond High School?						J	
Graduation Rate (B1) This indicator is defined as the gap in the percent of all youth graduating from high school with a regular diploma percent of youth with IEPs in the district graduating with a regular diploma. Data Source: lowa's Project EASIER	a compared to	8%	11.7%	<u><</u> 11.7%	<u><</u> 11.7%	<u><</u> 9.2%	Criteria Met
Dropout Rate (B2) This indicator is defined as the gap in the percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school compared to the youth dropping out of high school. Data Source: lowa's Project EASIER	e percent of all	.06%	.67%	<u><</u> .67%	<u><</u> .67%	<u><</u> .50%	
Effective Transition Goals (B13) This indicator is defined as the percent of youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measu IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the child to meet the post secondary goals. Data S Baseline data survey, 2005-06 school year		Baseline data collected 2005-06				100%	Targets Met
Effective Secondary Transition Outcome (B14) This indicator is defined as the percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who had rompetitively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both, within one year of leaving high Source: Baseline data survey, 2005-06 school year		Baseline data collected 2005-06				TBD	
Indicators		04-05 AEA	04-05 State	04-05 State Target	05-06 State Target	6 Year Target	Criteria Met/Not Met
Essential Question #6 Does the Infrastructure System Support the Implementation of IDEA?							
Effective General Supervision Part C/Child Find 0-1 Year of Age (C5) This indicator is defined as the percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs. Data Source: Children's IFS by the Regional Grantee using the DE/AEA Information Management System (IMS) and Iowa's Census Report	SPs reported	1.07%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.3%	
Effective General Supervision Part C/Child Find 0-3 Year of Age (C6) This indicator is defined as the percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs. Data Source: Children's II by the Regional Grantee using the DE/AEA Information Management System (IMS) and Iowa's Census Report	FSPs reported	1.84%	2.07%	2.0%	2.1%	2.5%	
Effective General Supervision Part C/Timely Evaluation and Assessment (C7) This indicator is defined as the percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and as an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. Data Source: Part C Self assessment a data		60%	65%	100%	100%	100%	Criteria Not Met
Effective General Supervision Part B 60 Day Timeline (B11) This indicator is defined as the percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and elidetermined within 60 days. Data Source: Student IEPs, reported by the AEA using the DE/AEA IMS	igibility	Baseline data collected 2005-06				100%	Targets Met
Effective General Supervision System, (Including Monitoring, Complaints Hearings etc.) (B15) This indicator is defined as the percent of identified noncompliance corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. Data Source: lowa's Department of Education Special Education Monitoring Database							
% of noncompliance in priority areas corrected within one year of identification					100%		
% of noncompliance in nonpriority areas corrected within one year of identification	Baseline data collected 2005-06			100%			
% of noncompliance identified through due process mechanisms corrected within one year of identification.						100%	
Q 1: 4 of 7 Indicators met Q 4: Not used in determining 2005-06 status Q 3: Not used in determining 2005-06 status Q 6 2 of 3 Indicators met	Status 1: Criteria met Status 2: Criteria met Status 2 Watch: Criter	i-06 Standard for Determining AEA Status us 1: Criteria met on 3 or 4 Essential Questions us 2: Criteria met on 2 Essential Questions us 2 Watch: Criteria met on 0 or 1 Essential Questions us 3: Criteria met on 0 or 1 Essential Questions for 2 consecutive years					6 AEA Status Status 1

Iowa Department of Education Spring 2006